UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450

Alexandria,		22313-1450
www.uspto.	gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,356	01/12/2006	Shin Yazawa	283520US0PCT	7829
22850	7590 12/05/2006		EXAMINER	
C. IRVIN MCCLELLAND			PESELEV, ELLI	
OBLON, SE 1940 DUKE	· ·	, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXAND	RIA, VA 22314		1623	-
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/564,356		YAZAWA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	T	
		Elli Peselev	1623		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	1		address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by starely received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office Interval of the material part of the	DATE OF THIS COM 1.136(a). In no event, however od will apply and will expire SI tute, cause the application to b	MMUNICATION. er, may a reply be timely filed X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	,	•			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
		——· his action is non-final			
•	Since this application is in condition for allow			he merits is	
-,_	closed in accordance with the practice unde				
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	,		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application	n			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		ion.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
· —	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirem	ent.		
Applicati	on Papers				
9) 🗌 :	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	•		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		cted to by the Examiner.		
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre				
11) 🔲 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the			• •	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been receiv	ed.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr			al Stage	
* 0	application from the International Bure	•	• •		
- 8	ee the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified cop	ies not received.	,	
Attachment	(s)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		terview Summary (PTO-413)		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		per No(s)/Mail Date otice of Informal Patent Application		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/564,356

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 1 of the specification fails to state that this application is a 371 of PCT/JP04/10103 filed 07/15/2004.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 4 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claims.

See MPEP § 608.01(n).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Japanese Patent (11-60592), the Japanese Patent (2000-191685), the Japanese Patent

Application/Control Number: 10/564,356

Art Unit: 1623

(01-093562) or Sarkar et al (The Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 272, no. 41, pages 25608-25616, 1997) in view of Endo et al (U.S. Patent no. 5,569,464).

Each of the Japanese Patent (11-060592) and the Japanese Patent (2000-191685) discloses cholestanol glycosides useful as anticancer agents.

The Japanese Patent (01-093562) discloses sphingosine glycosides.

Sarkar et al disclose naphthalene glycosides (page 25610).

But, each of the cited Japanese Patents and Sarkar et al does not disclose said glycosides in the form of liposomes. However, since the use of liposomes comprising a phospholipids and a hydrophobic compound such as aliphatic amine and wide variety of drugs including carcinostatic agents, was well known in the art at the time the claimed invention was made as disclosed by Endo et al (column 2, lines 30-56, column 3, lines 57-67 and column 4), a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made would have been motivated to prepare drugs disclosed by each of the Japanese patents or Sarkar et al in the form of liposomes for the purposes of stabilization of said drugs, slow release of drugs and targeting of said drugs (Edno et al, column 1, lines 15-30).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4.30.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,356 Page 4

Art Unit: 1623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Jiang can be reached on (571) 272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Elli Peselev

ELLI PESELEV PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200